

REMARKS

The present invention is a method and a terminal for displaying information on a display of a terminal. With an embodiment of the invention, the information includes elements which are either of a continuous length as, for example, illustrated as elements 120, 125 and 130 in Fig. 4a which present a horizontal size which is equal to or greater than the size of a display 3 or a wrapped length, such as illustrated by elements 205, 210, 215 and 220 in Fig. 4b which is less than the horizontal size of the display. The display 3 is further provided with a user interface including a displayed menu structure of items such as illustrated in Figs. 4a and 4b.

A method in accordance with the invention comprises the steps of providing in the menu structure a selectable continuous length and a wrapped length display of text. The continuous length or the wrapped length are selected in the displayed menu structure of the user interface as display options. The information is displayed according to the selection of the display option made in the displayed menu structure of the user interface, in order to allow a user to toggle between continuous length and the wrapped length via the display 3 providing the displayed menu structure through which the user selects continuous or wrapped length display. See paragraph [0033] of the Substitute Specification for a discussion of the selection of the display of wrapped text 185 and continuous text 190 options via the display 3.

Claims 10-12, 14-16, 18-21, 23-25 and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by "using Microsoft Word 97" (Camarda). This ground of rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

Independent claims 10, 14, and 18 substantively recite a display of information including elements wherein the display is either of a continuous length which is equal to or greater than the horizontal size of the display or a wrapped length which is less than the horizontal size of the display. The display is provided with a user interface including a displayed menu structure which permits selecting a selectable continuous length display and a wrapped length display which are chosen from the display as display options followed by displaying information according to the selection of the display option made in the menu structure of the user interface to allow a user to select the continuous length and the wrapped length display of text.

Camarda as applied by the Examiner including Figs. 12.13 and 12.14 does not anticipate the subject matter of the claims for the following reasons. It is well known that Word® always wraps text to fit the margins. Therefore, by definition, Word® does not provide a user interface permitting selection of a continuous length and a wrapped length horizontal display chosen from the display as display options. Insofar as the Examiner is relying upon the display in Fig. 12.14, it should be noted that what is displayed therein is under the Print Preview Option which shows multiple pages. However, the Print Preview Option does not provide for the choice of display options. The Print Preview Option permits multiple pages to be displayed with the functionality of Word® which always wraps each page to fit to be within the display margin. It therefore does not provide the claimed length which is equal to or greater than the horizontal size of the display, since even with multiple pages, the pages are automatically processed to be displayed between individual pages to always fit the horizontal margins. If the Examiner persists in the stated grounds of rejection, it is requested that he specifically explain how Word® is being read on the claims in view

of the foregoing including how Word® provides the claimed continuous length display.

The dependent claims 11-12, 15-16, and 19-21 define more specific aspects of the present invention which are not anticipated by Camarda.

Moreover, independent claims 14 and 18 have been amended to recite a mobile phone terminal which is not taught by Camarda. There is no basis in the record why a person of ordinary skill in the art would be led to modify the teachings of Camarda to utilize them in a mobile phone terminal except by impermissible hindsight.

Claims 13, 17, 22 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Camarda. These grounds of rejection are traversed for the following reasons.


The Examiner refers to Fig. 12.14 on page 356 and further reasons that "Camarda does disclose the concept of text wrapping which involves feeding the parts [a] vertical direction over the display, when the length of the element is greater than the width of the display with reliance being placed upon lines 11-16 of page 740". The cited portion of page 740 is under the heading "Controlling Word Interface Options". What is described therein is varying Word's® appearance in the display area. However, what is noticeably missing from the teaching of controlling Word® interface options is the selectable option of choosing between either presenting a length which is equal to or greater than the horizontal size of the display. Accordingly, the deficiencies noted above with respect to Figs. 12.13 and 12.14 are not cured by the Examiner's reliance upon Controlling Word® Interface Options.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is submitted that each of the claims is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance thereof is respectfully requested.

To the extent necessary, applicant's petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (1030.39878X00) and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP



Donald E. Stout
Registration No. 26,422
(703) 312-6600

DES:dlh